2 3

being the one in which we are located here, which was presided over by Judge Winter, now our Maryland judge, Judge Sobeloff, still a member of that court and chief judge until quite recently.

Now, as for the so-called blackjack verdict, where the judge forces the jury to act, I might call your attention to the fact that under the procedure, the rules and the law as changed and modified by constitutional amendment, indeed, to allow the consideration of the case on its merits by the court, everything that the trial judge does now is fully reviewable and would be as fully reviewable on appeal without this as with it. The court is mandatorily required to instruct that everything he does or fails to do is open to review on appeal, so that whether we keep this antique thorn or whether we remove it, the effect would be precisely the same as far as the rights of the accused are concerned on appeal.

The only difference is this, that by appealing this thorn we would allow the judge to give an instruction on the law, and we would take away from the jury the so-called right to disregard it, either for or against, which